If my patient has undergone radiation treatment of the jaw, does he/she need hyperbaric oxygen therapy before I extract teeth or perform other minor oral surgery?

Background

Osteoradionecrosis (ORN) is a feared condition recognized by all dental practitioners (Figs. 1 and 2). Some of us have witnessed this disease among our patients, and we learned in dental school how devastating it can be. The biologic effects of high-dose radiation therapy on the jaws have been well described by Marx.\(^1,2\) Although there is no threshold radiation dose beyond which ORN becomes a higher risk, it usually occurs among patients who have had radiation therapy, surgery or both for oral cancer, usually squamous cell carcinoma. The radiation dose is usually greater than 60 grays, although ORN resulting from lower doses has been reported.\(^3\)

The biology and science behind the devastating effects of radiation on oral tissues as well as the beneficial effects of hyperbaric oxygen therapy (HBOT) have been discussed in the literature over many years and ingrained in the minds of dental students over the past 30 years. HBOT protocols have been developed for both prevention and management of ORN.\(^1,2\)

Weighing the Potential Risks and Benefits of Hyperbaric Oxygen Therapy

As for any form of therapy, risk–benefit ratios can be determined for undergoing treatment and for not having the treatment. HBOT is an expensive, time-consuming process. It is administered in a dive chamber, originally developed to counteract decompression illness (the “bends”) from deep-sea scuba diving. Many cities of substantial size do not have a dive chamber. In fact, not all provinces have one. The potential need for distance travel could further stress an already troubled patient and his or her loved ones, as HBOT can take up to 6 weeks with treatment provided every week day. On the other hand, if we can prevent a serious complication such as ORN of the jaw, HBOT is likely worthwhile.

Most of us are familiar with the 3 Hs—hypoxic, hypocellular, hypovascular—the characteristics of irradiated tissues, and it certainly makes sense that such tissues will be less likely to heal than normal tissue, especially following surgical insult such as dental extractions. The benefits of HBOT
in reversing the 3 Hs are known, and HBOT has been recognized as the standard of care in the dental profession for many years.

However, recent research and reports have questioned this assumption. Some even go so far as to suggest that HBOT may worsen the outcome. At major cancer treatment centres in the United States (e.g., MD Anderson and Sloan Kettering), large series of patients who have had dental extractions within the field of former cancericidal radiation dosages without the use of HBOT have not developed ORN. Currently, I am no longer recommending preoperative HBOT for this group of patients before extractions, implants or other minor oral surgery.

In addition, all patients about to undergo head and neck radiation therapy should have a dental assessment, as this may go a long way toward preventing problems in the first place. If teeth require extraction, it would be best to do this before radiation or within a few weeks of starting radiotherapy. It is obviously important to ensure careful, clean surgical technique. Sharp bony edges must be smoothed, and primary soft tissue closure should be achieved where possible without overreduction of alveolar bone such that adjacent teeth or jaw structure are compromised.

Should prophylactic antibiotics be used? It is probably prudent to use antibiotics in this group of patients, even though they would not be used before simple extractions in the normal population. Immediate preoperative chlorhexidine rinse may be beneficial, but there is no evidence to make this mandatory.

It is incumbent on all of us to stay current with the literature, especially in terms of new developments that question previous recommendations and accepted philosophies. This is not the first time standards of care have been questioned, then modified or completely rewritten. It is inherent in science and part of what adds to the complexity of and interest in our ever-changing profession. There is considerable value in repeating experiments to provide corroborating support to or question previously accepted theories and practices.
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