Do missing teeth need to be replaced or is a “shortened dental arch” acceptable?

Background

For many years, it was thought that any missing tooth should be replaced, although numerous clinicians and researchers questioned this opinion. Arnd Käyser was the first to coin the term “shortened dental arch” (SDA) to describe the concept of acceptable oral function with partial dentition. Through a number of clinical studies, he and his co-workers came to the conclusion that many people could function without a full complement of teeth and that not all missing teeth require replacement. For many people, a functional dentition consists of as little as opposing anterior and premolar teeth. In terms of a minimum number of teeth that patients need, Käyser and colleagues suggested that, in addition to anterior teeth, most people require at least 4 occlusal units of posterior teeth (1 pair of opposing, occluding premolars would be 1 occlusal unit, a pair of occluding molars would represent 2 occlusal units). People with asymmetrical tooth loss noticed a change in chewing function when they had fewer than 6 units (Figs. 1 and 2).

The Effect of a Shortened Dental Arch on Oral Function

In general, studies comparing people with a full complement of teeth with those with SDAs have not demonstrated significant differences in ability to chew. Among patients with the minimum recommended number of occlusal units, the insertion of a removable partial denture does not significantly improve oral function. According to some studies, the more teeth missing beyond the minimum, the more difficulty a person will have chewing.

In addition, those without molar support have not been shown to have a higher incidence of the signs and symptoms of temporomandibular disorders. Similarly, SDA has not been associated with significant discomfort, distress or occlusal wear. Although it seems that most people can function acceptably with an SDA, this is not true for many people, a functional dentition consists of as little as opposing anterior and premolar teeth. In terms of a minimum number of teeth that patients need, Käyser and colleagues suggested that, in addition to anterior teeth, most people require at least 4 occlusal units of posterior teeth (1 pair of opposing, occluding premolars would be 1 occlusal unit, a pair of occluding molars would represent 2 occlusal units). People with asymmetrical tooth loss noticed a change in chewing function when they had fewer than 6 units (Figs. 1 and 2).

The Effect of a Shortened Dental Arch on Oral Function

In general, studies comparing people with a full complement of teeth with those with SDAs have not demonstrated significant differences in ability to chew. Among patients with the minimum recommended number of occlusal units, the insertion of a removable partial denture does not significantly improve oral function. According to some studies, the more teeth missing beyond the minimum, the more difficulty a person will have chewing.

In addition, those without molar support have not been shown to have a higher incidence of the signs and symptoms of temporomandibular disorders. Similarly, SDA has not been associated with significant discomfort, distress or occlusal wear. Although it seems that most people can function acceptably with an SDA, this is not true for many people, a functional dentition consists of as little as opposing anterior and premolar teeth. In terms of a minimum number of teeth that patients need, Käyser and colleagues suggested that, in addition to anterior teeth, most people require at least 4 occlusal units of posterior teeth (1 pair of opposing, occluding premolars would be 1 occlusal unit, a pair of occluding molars would represent 2 occlusal units). People with asymmetrical tooth loss noticed a change in chewing function when they had fewer than 6 units (Figs. 1 and 2).

The Effect of a Shortened Dental Arch on Oral Function

In general, studies comparing people with a full complement of teeth with those with SDAs have not demonstrated significant differences in ability to chew. Among patients with the minimum recommended number of occlusal units, the insertion of a removable partial denture does not significantly improve oral function. According to some studies, the more teeth missing beyond the minimum, the more difficulty a person will have chewing.

In addition, those without molar support have not been shown to have a higher incidence of the signs and symptoms of temporomandibular disorders. Similarly, SDA has not been associated with significant discomfort, distress or occlusal wear. Although it seems that most people can function acceptably with an SDA, this is not true for many people, a functional dentition consists of as little as opposing anterior and premolar teeth. In terms of a minimum number of teeth that patients need, Käyser and colleagues suggested that, in addition to anterior teeth, most people require at least 4 occlusal units of posterior teeth (1 pair of opposing, occluding premolars would be 1 occlusal unit, a pair of occluding molars would represent 2 occlusal units). People with asymmetrical tooth loss noticed a change in chewing function when they had fewer than 6 units (Figs. 1 and 2).

The Effect of a Shortened Dental Arch on Oral Function

In general, studies comparing people with a full complement of teeth with those with SDAs have not demonstrated significant differences in ability to chew. Among patients with the minimum recommended number of occlusal units, the insertion of a removable partial denture does not significantly improve oral function. According to some studies, the more teeth missing beyond the minimum, the more difficulty a person will have chewing.

In addition, those without molar support have not been shown to have a higher incidence of the signs and symptoms of temporomandibular disorders. Similarly, SDA has not been associated with significant discomfort, distress or occlusal wear. Although it seems that most people can function acceptably with an SDA, this is not true for many people, a functional dentition consists of as little as opposing anterior and premolar teeth. In terms of a minimum number of teeth that patients need, Käyser and colleagues suggested that, in addition to anterior teeth, most people require at least 4 occlusal units of posterior teeth (1 pair of opposing, occluding premolars would be 1 occlusal unit, a pair of occluding molars would represent 2 occlusal units). People with asymmetrical tooth loss noticed a change in chewing function when they had fewer than 6 units (Figs. 1 and 2).
for everyone: 7% to 20% of people with an SDA have reported that their chewing ability is hindered or that they had to change food preparation practices.¹

An SDA may also be associated with greater tooth migration and interdental spacing among patients younger than 40 years, although the migration was deemed small and clinically insignificant.¹ An SDA may also be associated with greater overeruption of teeth, although only 2% of such patients reported that it hindered their oral function.¹ People with SDA have been found to have more mobile teeth and lower alveolar bone levels. The combination of increased occlusal loading and existing periodontal disease probably represents a risk factor for further loss of teeth in these people. Patients with SDA probably also represent a high-risk group in terms of periodontal disease. Additional longitudinal studies have been recommended to study this relationship.¹

Shortened Dental Arch Options in Dental Practice

The SDA concept is increasingly accepted, although in some areas, it is not widely put into practice.¹ For dentists who provide services to patients with limited financial resources or patients who do not wish to acquire a prosthesis, the evidence provides a measure of reassurance that “no treatment” can be a sound option. Considering the implications of informed consent and the evidence collected by Käyser and others, it is prudent to ensure that treatment planning for all partially edentulous patients includes a discussion of the option of not replacing missing teeth and the pros and cons of this choice. For many patients, there may be no need to replace missing teeth, unless they are unhappy with their ability to chew or their appearance (Figs. 3 and 4). For patients with 4 or more occlusal units who do not feel they can chew as well as they wish, replacements can still be fabricated (Fig. 5).

The SDA concept is based on the notion that patients have an adaptive capacity to function with missing teeth. This capacity clearly varies, and not all patients will feel they have optimum function with the same number of teeth. Future research will most likely improve our understanding of this clinically relevant subject.
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A patient new to my practice had a heart transplant a few years ago. What are the chief considerations in the management of this patient?

Background

Since the pioneering surgery of Dr. Christian Barnard and his team in the 1960s, human heart transplantation has evolved into a surgical procedure with a reasonably predictable outcome. Many heart transplant recipients now have a greatly increased life expectancy and are able to return to normal daily life. Although medically compromised, they are typically more stable from a cardiovascular perspective than patients with a history of severe cardiac disease. With special care, routine dental or minor oral surgical procedures may be undertaken within the dental practice setting. However, for more invasive procedures, referral may be appropriate. There is no general systematic approach to dental treatment for these patients, making close liaison with the primary care physician and cardiologist essential.

A medical letter sent to the cardiologist and physician will help to confirm the patient’s medical history and current medications. The letter should include proposed dental surgical treatment, along with the concentration and dose of drugs that will be used (e.g., local anesthetics, antibiotics and analgesics). The response to this correspondence will report the medical status and stability of the transplant and the fitness of the patient to undergo dental procedures in an office setting.

Management in the Dental Office

The transplanted heart is unable to respond to stress because of the lack of innervation; thus, it is prudent to monitor blood pressure throughout the dental appointment. Furthermore, stress management measures, such as oral sedation, inhalation or sedation, may be indicated. The lack of sensory innervation of the transplanted heart also implies that, in the event of angina or myocardial infarction, the patient would not experience the retrosternal pain associated with both emergencies.

The transplanted heart is nevertheless acutely sensitive to circulating catecholamines and is consequently affected by epinephrine-containing solutions. Among transplant recipients surviving for 5 years or longer, about 40% will have accelerated graft atherosclerosis. The cardiac complications that may arise include myocardial infarction, congestive heart failure, ventricular arrhythmias and sudden death. It is good clinical practice to limit the amount of epinephrine necessary to maintain local anesthesia among these patients to 0.04 mg for dental procedures.

The 2007 American Heart Association guidelines on antibiotic prophylaxis to prevent infective endocarditis do not advise blanket coverage for cardiac transplant patients. Unless a patient develops cardiac valvulopathy, is immunosuppressed or has been directed by the cardiology team to have antibiotic coverage, prophylaxis is unnecessary.

The Effects of Immunosuppressant Therapy

Heart transplant recipients generally take 3 types of immunosuppressant drugs to prevent rejection. Each class of drug has a distinct effect on

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Types of immunosuppressants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Class of drug</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antimetabolites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steroids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antiproliferatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

INR = international normalized ratio; CBC = complete blood count; ptt = partial thromboplastin time.
the immune system as well as important side effects that may affect dental treatment (Table 1).4,6 Cyclosporine induces gingival hyperplasia. The predisposing factors are multifactorial and hyperplastic cases range from mild to severe (Fig. 1). The severity has been linked to oral hygiene status. Transplant patients benefit from a 3–4 month recall program of professional oral hygiene maintenance and education reinforcing the importance of personal oral hygiene measures. Not all patients develop gingival hyperplasia, but those affected acquire clinical manifestations within 6 months post-transplant.7 Following 36 months post-transplant, many affected patients show a gradual improvement in tissue overgrowth due to progressive reduction in the sensitivity of the periodontium to cyclosporine. Therefore, surgical intervention to manage gingival hyperplasia is delayed until 36 months has passed.7

Pediatric Considerations

Many of the treatment concerns described above apply to the pediatric transplant patient; however, some problems are specific to the pediatric patient. Children appear to be more susceptible to gingival hyperplasia than adults because of less attention to oral hygiene and a shorter period of administration of immunosuppressants.8 In children, hyperplastic tissue caps may develop over erupting teeth, which can delay, prevent or modify eruption patterns (Fig. 2). Surgical intervention is sometimes required; thus, regular monitoring of pediatric patients allows better treatment planning to assist the natural eruption of the permanent dentition.6
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QUESTION 3

What is the best technique for obturating the root canal system?

Background

The steps in successful root canal treatment include access and identification of all canal orifices; instrumentation to the canal terminus; irrigation to disinfect and dissolve pulpal tissue; obturation; and, finally, placement of an orifice seal and definitive restoration to prevent microleakage and tooth fracture. Inadequate treatment at any of these steps will likely result in the persistence, occurrence or recurrence of apical periodontitis (Fig. 1a and 1b).

Three of the most commonly used techniques for obturation are cold lateral condensation (CLC), warm vertical condensation (WVC) and warm carrier-based obturation (WCBO). All 3 are used to seal in (entomb) any potential irritants that could not be removed with instrumentation and irrigation; to remove space for regrowth of bacteria; and to eliminate leakage, including from the periodontal tissue into the root canal system. Although certain methods may appear conceptually better and in vitro leakage studies have shown differences, no single approach has shown unequivocal evidence of superior healing in outcome studies. Choice of an obturation method may be based on such factors as speed, simplicity, economics or practitioners’ impressions from experience and individual and serial case reports.

Management of the Issue

CLC is the obturation technique most commonly taught in dental school, as it is safe, cost effective and user friendly. It involves fitting a standard size master cone corresponding to the master apical file size. It requires a continuously flared canal with an apical stop or tapering control zone. For optimal deformation of material, the spreader must be prefitted so that it extends deeply into the empty canal without binding. Nickel titanium hand spreaders may penetrate more deeply, generate less internal stress and distribute forces more evenly than others. Accessory cones must be sequentially added to eliminate voids and minimize sealer thickness. The major limitation of CLC is the inability to move the obturation material or sealer into irregularities of the main canal or branches.

WVC has been described as obturating in 3-D, indicating an intention to fill all ramifications of the pulp space including accessory canals. It is the experience of most endodontists that the ability to fill canal irregularities and branches has been best demonstrated with this technique. For WVC to be effective, the canal must be properly cleaned and shaped to provide the hydraulics necessary to force the softened material and sealer into these irregularities, while providing the resistance needed to prevent overfilling. This is accomplished by preparation that continually tapers to an apical control zone with the canal patent to the terminus. For obturation, a medium or fine-medium cone is cut to fit snugly in the apical control zone. Fit must be precise to prevent migration of the cone with apical pressure, and the canal must have been shaped...
Point of Care

Question

1. Express the sealer into canal irregularities and ensure minimal film thickness. With a carrier-based technique, it is extremely important to use a very light coating of sealer on the walls, as placement of the warmed carrier will have a piston effect, with potential expression of excess sealer into the periradicular tissues.

Conclusion

Shortfalls in obturation are most often a result of inadequate canal preparation. Indeed, contemporary research points to cleaning and disinfection of the root canal as the single most important step in preventing and treating endodontic disease. From a clinical standpoint, each practitioner should employ an obturation technique that provides consistent, predictable results that meet a high standard. When the practitioner is in doubt as to whether a high standard can be achieved, he or she should seek help from an endodontist who is trained, equipped and experienced in treating these cases.
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QUESTION 4

How should I treat a young patient in the primary or early mixed dentition stage who presents with unilateral posterior crossbite and mandibular shift?

Background

Posteruptive crossbite of the primary or mixed dentition can be caused by a skeletal or a dental discrepancy. Skeletal crossbite is due to deficiency of the transverse maxillary arch, a wider-than-normal mandibular arch or both. Dental crossbite can occur when the arches are of adequate width but the maxillary teeth are tipped lingually and/or the mandibular teeth are tipped buccally. The incidence of posterior crossbite in the primary, mixed and permanent dentitions ranges between 7% and 23%.

A crossbite affecting only one tooth is often dental in nature and can occur as a result of crowding. For example, early loss of a maxillary primary second molar may cause lingual displacement of the second premolar. Dental crossbite in the primary dentition is uncommon, because crowding is rare. A crossbite affecting one tooth can also occur when a retained primary tooth disrupts the eruption path of its permanent successor.

A posterior crossbite may be unilateral or bilateral. True unilateral crossbite results from intra-arch or skeletal asymmetry, which may have a pathologic cause, such as unilateral cleft lip and palate or unilateral condylar hyperplasia. Bilateral crossbite may have the same underlying causes as unilateral crossbite, but there is more maxillary constriction distributed throughout the arch and no forced shift of the mandible. Although most crossbites of the primary or early mixed dentition are unilateral in appearance as a result of a mandibular shift to one side on closure, they are actually bilateral crossbites.

Diagnosis

Frontal examination may demonstrate lateral deviation of the chin toward the side of the functional shift. Mandibular asymmetry may occur as a result of asymmetric growth due to the functional shift. If such asymmetry is present, posteroanterior or submental radiography may help to assess its presence and extent. Lateral cephalometric radiography (at maximum intercuspation and at the point of initial contact, before the functional shift occurs) may be helpful but can be technically challenging if the patient is very young.

Evaluating the axial inclination of the posterior teeth in study models can be used to determine whether the crossbite is dental or skeletal. If this evaluation shows that the crossbite could be corrected by removing the transverse dental compensation, the discrepancy is probably dental in nature. If the crossbite appears worse after the compensations are removed, it is probably skeletal in origin.

Intraoral examination may reveal a functional shift of the mandible into maximum intercuspal position. This may be due to dental interferences (usually of the primary canines) but is often a result of bilateral maxillary constriction. However, severe constriction may result in bilateral crossbite without a functional shift. To diagnose a functional mandibular shift, the patient can be asked to roll the tongue to the posterior palate, which helps to bring the mandible into a retrusive position. With gentle guidance the clinician can move the mandible into retrusion and will see the first point of contact and then the slide.

Timing of Treatment

Crossbite with functional shift is one of the few conditions requiring treatment in the primary dentition. If the permanent first molars are expected to erupt in less than 6 months, treatment can be delayed so that these teeth can be included in the correction. The proportion of posterior crossbites that persist into the permanent dentition is significant but variable (8% to 45%). If not corrected, a functional shift may lead to undesirable adaptation of the temporomandibular joint, asymmetric mandibular growth, dental compensations and dental abrasion. Treatment in the early mixed dentition stage also leads to improvement in mandibular asymmetry.

Delaying treatment until the late mixed or permanent dentition stage has been advocated, to allow possible spontaneous correction, to avoid multiple phases of treatment and to allow the patient to reach a developmental stage with better cooperation and self-motivation. However, such a delay risks development or worsening of mandibular asymmetric growth.

Crossbite should not be corrected in the presence of a sucking habit, as the crossbite will
probably return after treatment unless the habit is eliminated. However, appliance therapy may simultaneously discourage the sucking habit and correct the crossbite.

There are 3 approaches to treating moderate posterior crossbite in children: occlusal equilibration to eliminate interferences, in cases with a minor functional shift (less than 1 mm); expansion of the maxillary arch; or repositioning of individual teeth, combined with use of crossbite elastics, to deal with intra-arch asymmetries, in cases of localized dental crossbite.

Application of light transverse expansive forces at the midpalatal suture can result in skeletal and dental changes to correct the crossbite or transverse deficiency. Heavy forces from a rapid palatal expander in the primary or early mixed dentition may cause distortion of the nose and should therefore be delayed until the patient is in the middle to late mixed dentition stage.

In a patient with unilateral crossbite as a result of a functional mandibular shift, bilateral transverse expansion is generally necessary. Removable maxillary expanders are advantageous, as they require little chair time and can include a bite plate to assist in the disarticulation of the posterior teeth. Fixed appliances such as the quad helix (Fig. 1)
or W-arch are also useful and do not depend on patient compliance. They act quickly, have minimal effects on speech and generally deliver a continuous light force. After the expansion has been achieved, the active component of the quad helix can be removed and the buccal arms left in place to provide a cost-effective means of retention.

For true unilateral crossbite, a removable appliance is preferred, with an asymmetrically placed expansion screw toward the side of the crossbite and more acrylic palatal coverage toward the normal side. This permits more basal bone anchorage on the normal side and more expansion on the side with the crossbite. The case presented here illustrates the successful treatment of a unilateral crossbite with a removable maxillary expansion appliance. Once successful expansion was achieved (Figs. 2–4), the patient continued to wear the appliance as a retainer (Figs. 5 and 6). Six months after initial presentation (3 months after completed expansion), a fixed transpalatal arch was cemented in place (Fig. 7). The patient’s facial musculature was balanced, and the buccal segments had effective interdigitation, which should assist in establishing long-term retention. She will be monitored every 3 to 6 months.

**Conclusion**

Treatment of posterior crossbite in the primary or early mixed dentition is indicated when a functional mandibular shift is present. Otherwise, there is a risk of long-term asymmetric mandibular growth. Treatment usually takes the form of an expansion appliance in the maxilla; retention protocols should be considered once successful expansion has been achieved and the crossbite has been eliminated.
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