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Ameloblastic fibro-odontoma is a benign, slow-growing, expansile epithelial odontogenic tumour with odontogenic mesenchyme. It may inhibit tooth eruption or displace involved teeth, although teeth in the affected area are vital.1-3 Radiography shows a well-defined, radiolucent area containing various amounts of radiopaque material of irregular size and form.1,3-5 The lesions are usually diagnosed during the first and second decade of life.1,4-7 It occurs with equal frequency in the maxilla and the mandible and with equal frequency in males and females.1,5-7 This report describes an ameloblastic fibro-odontoma in a 26-year-old woman.

Case Report

A 26-year-old woman was referred to the Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery at the Queen Elizabeth II Health Sciences Centre in Halifax, Nova Scotia, by her family dentist for evaluation of an asymptomatic left mandibular lesion that had been discovered on routine radiography.

The medical, social and family histories were unremarkable, as were the results of a review of systems and a physical examination. Panoramic radiography showed an expansile, radiolucent lesion around an impacted lower left third molar. The lesion contained scattered foci of calcified material coronal to the impacted tooth. The root of the impacted third molar was 75% developed, and the lesion had displaced the tooth inferiorly. The lesion was well circumscribed except along the posterior aspect, where the margin was irregular and ill defined (Fig. 1).

The differential diagnosis included ameloblastic fibro-odontoma, immature complex odontoma, calcifying epithelial odontogenic tumour and calcifying odontogenic cyst. Excisional biopsy was performed, and the mass, including the third molar, was submitted for histopathologic diagnosis.

Light microscopic examination of sections stained with hematoxylin and eosin revealed characteristics of both ameloblastic fibroma and odontoma. The connective tissue was moderately cellular with spindle-shaped fibroblasts, and there were epithelial islands within the fibroblastic matrix (Fig. 2). High-power microscopy revealed epithelial cells producing enamel matrix and dentin. No evidence of malignancy, such as nuclear pleomorphism, was found (Fig. 3), and the tumour was diagnosed as ameloblastic fibro-odontoma.
The patient was followed postoperatively for 12 months, but there was no sign of recurrence. Soft-tissue healing was uneventful, and postoperative panoramic radiography demonstrated completion of bone healing.

Discussion
Classification, Histogenesis and Histological Features

Ameloblastic fibro-odontoma has traditionally been classified as a benign mixed odontogenic tumour. The term “epithelial odontogenic tumour with odontogenic mesenchyme” is becoming more widely accepted these days and avoids potential controversy over the nature of the neoplasia. The term “ameloblastic fibro-odontoma” represents a histologic combination of ameloblastic fibroma and complex odontoma. This lesion exhibits the same benign biologic behaviour as ameloblastic fibroma. In contrast, the term “ameloblastic odontoma” refers to tumors representing a histologic combination of ameloblastoma and complex odontoma, which behave in the invasive manner of classic ameloblastoma. The term “ameloblastic fibro-odontoma” appears in the World Health Organization (WHO) classification of odontogenic tumours, whereas ameloblastic odontoma is called odontoameloblastoma in the WHO classification.

Controversy exists regarding the histogenesis of the mixed odontogenic tumors. Cahn and Blum postulated that ameloblastic fibroma, the histologically least differentiated tumour, develops first into a moderately differentiated form, ameloblastic fibro-odontoma, and eventually into complex odontoma. However, the concept that these lesions represent a continuum of differentiation is not widely accepted, and others feel that they are separate pathologic entities. Most now agree that ameloblastic fibro-odontoma exists as a distinct entity, but it can be histologically indistinguishable from immature complex odontoma. The relative arrangement of the soft tissues and the stage of development of the involved tooth are useful criteria for diagnosis.

The tumour mass is surrounded by a fibrous capsule and is composed predominantly of a fibroblastic connective tissue matrix containing strands of odontogenic epithelium and immature tooth structures, including enamel and dentin. The connective tissue is moderately cellular with spindle-shaped fibroblasts. No evidence of malignancy is found.

Clinical Features

Ameloblastic fibro-odontoma is relatively rare. The prevalence among oral biopsies is about 1%, and the frequency of ameloblastic fibro-odontoma among odontogenic tumours is reported as 1% to 3%. Daley and others investigated the relative incidence of odontogenic tumours in the Canadian population and found that 3.06% of all odontogenic tumors were ameloblastic fibro-odontomas.

Ameloblastic fibro-odontoma usually occurs in people less...
than 20 years old, and age is thus an important characteristic in the differential diagnosis.1,3,4,6,7,16-22 Hooker3 reported the mean age of patients as 11.5 years (range 6 months to 39 years). Slootweg6 reviewed 50 patients with this lesion, for whom the mean age was 8.1 years (range 1 to 22 years).

There is no difference in prevalence between the sexes.6,7 Ameloblastic fibro-odontoma is usually found in the molar and mandible.6,7

The 2 most common presenting complaints are swelling and failure of tooth eruption. The lesion may displace erupted teeth, but other symptoms, such as pain and paresthesia, are uncommon. Asymptomatic cases are usually discovered incidentally on radiography. This lesion is generally considered a slow-growing central jaw tumor; however, several exceptions to this pattern have been reported.23 Occasionally, the tumour exhibits marked swelling, which results in facial disfigurement.3

Radiographic Features

Radiography usually shows a well-defined radiolucent area containing various amounts of radiopaque material of irregular size and form. The ratio of radiopaque to radiolucent areas differs from one lesion to another; sometimes the mineralized element in the tumour predominates and the lesion may resemble an odontoma.18 Some of the lesions are relatively small when first detected, measuring 1 to 2 cm in diameter, whereas others may be exceedingly large, involving a considerable portion of the body of the mandible21 or maxilla.3,21

Differential Diagnosis

When ameloblastic fibro-odontoma presents with the typical age, location and radiographic pattern, the diagnosis is usually obvious. The differential diagnosis should include lesions with mixed radiographic patterns, such as calcifying epithelial odontogenic tumour, calcifying odontogenic cyst, immature complex odontoma and possibly adenomatoid odontogenic tumour.

Treatment, Recurrence and Malignant Transformation

Because ameloblastic fibro-odontoma is well encapsulated and there is little tendency to local invasion, the recommended treatment is conservative surgery with enucleation. When the lesion includes an unerupted tooth, the tooth should be removed with the mass. There is very little potential for recurrence.5,16

Malignant transformation of ameloblastic fibro-odontoma is rare, and its exact rate is not known. Howell and Burges24 reported 2 cases of ameloblastic fibro-odontoma that showed malignant transformation to ameloblastic fibrosarcoma.6
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