Ossointegration is a well-established treatment modality for the restoration of missing teeth. The impressive results reported for the treatment of complete edentulism1–3 encouraged researchers to establish clinical trials for partially edentulous patients. These studies have underscored the merits of either implant-supported restorations4,5 or combined implant- and tooth-supported restorations6 in partially edentulous patients. Success has also been reported for single-tooth restorations.7–10 The restoration of missing anterior teeth presents an esthetic challenge in terms of maintaining the smile line, preserving the soft tissues and obtaining an appropriate emergence profile from the gingival tissues.

This paper describes an impression technique that preserves the soft-tissue profile obtained after adequate gingival healing following surgery.

Case Report
A healthy 34-year-old patient presented with pain in tooth 21, which had previously been restored with a porcelain-fused-to-metal crown (Fig. 1). Clinical examination revealed a high smile line and mobility of tooth 21. Radiographic evaluation confirmed a root fracture (Fig. 2).

The proposed treatment plan included extraction of the tooth and replacement with an implant-supported restoration. The fractured tooth was extracted atraumatically, and an interim acrylic partial denture was inserted immediately. After 6 months, clinical and radiographic evaluation of the site indicated osseous healing, and the region was deemed ready for placement of an implant (Fig. 3). A surgical guide was fabricated, and a single 15 × 3.75 mm Bränemark implant (MKIII, Nobel Biocare, Göteborg, Sweden) was inserted at the site. The surgery and healing phases were uneventful.

After a further 6-month period of undisturbed healing, the implant was exposed, and a temporary acrylic abutment (DCA 1049-0, Nobel Biocare) was secured to it. An acrylic denture tooth was bonded to the temporary abutment with Jet autocure acrylic (Lang Dental Mfg. Co., Inc., Wheeling, Ill.). After initial curing of the acrylic, the tooth was moulded by incremental addition of acrylic to provide an appropriate emergence profile from the soft tissues. The patient’s occlusion was adjusted, and the interim restoration was removed. After chairside adjustment of the submerged portion of the restoration, the crown was polished and inserted. The abutment screw was secured and the access cavity obturated with a cotton pellet and interim filling material (Cavit, ESPE, Seefeld, Germany) (Fig. 4).

The patient was evaluated 1 week after surgery, when the sutures were removed. The patient was encouraged to massage the gingival tissues gently 4 times a day for 2 minutes at a time. After 8 more weeks, to allow healing of the soft tissues, the patient returned for fabrication of the final restoration. Polyether impression material (Impregum, Universal Viscosity Polyether, ESPE) was used to make the final impression over top of the interim.
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restoration (Fig. 5). The impression was removed and checked for accuracy. The interim restoration was then removed and a healing abutment positioned (Figs. 6 and 7). Blue-Mousse fast-setting vinyl polysiloxane impression material (Parkell Biomaterials Division, Farmingdale, NY) was injected gently around the healing abutment to maintain the soft-tissue profile (Fig. 8).

An implant analogue was secured to the interim restoration by hand pressure, and the interim restoration was repositioned in the polyether impression (Fig. 9). A soft-tissue model was fabricated from polyvinyl soft-tissue analogue (Gi-Mask Automic, Coltene, Switzerland) and die stone (Extreme Green, Canadian Medical Dental Gypsum, Burlington, Ont.). This allowed correct replication of the soft tissues. After hardening of the die stone, an index was made of the contour of the interim restoration (Fig. 10). The restoration was then unscrewed from the cast, disinfected and reinserted into the patient’s mouth.

The final restoration was fabricated by firing porcelain directly to an all-ceramic abutment (CerAdapt, Nobel Biocare). The restoration was inserted, and seating was confirmed with periapical radiography (Fig. 11). The occlusion and contact points were adjusted and seated, the abutment screw was torqued to 32 Ncm, and the access hole was sealed with cotton and composite resin (Fig. 12).

**Discussion**

This article has presented an alternative impression technique for implant restorations. Conventional approaches for making impressions of implants use impression copings at either the fixture or the abutment level. However, these techniques do not replicate the soft tissues that have been custom moulded before the final impression. It is therefore difficult for the dental technologist to create an ideal emergence profile. Moreover, the patient may find the try-in and insertion appointments painful because the soft tissues are abruptly pushed away when the final restoration is seated.

In the technique discussed above, the impression coping was replaced by the interim crown itself. This technique is superior because it accurately registers the tissue profile that has been created with the interim restoration. Conventionally available components do not do this, and few methods are available that can easily reproduce the tissue contour.

A further advantage of this approach is that the soft tissues are replicated when the impression is poured, which gives the dental technologist the exact shape of the
peri-implant sulcus. When the prosthesis is inserted, the shape of the prosthesis is identical with that of the interim restoration. This minimizes any soft-tissue distension when the restoration is placed. Optimal esthetics can therefore be maintained.

This method is very accurate in its duplication of the soft-tissue profiles in the mouth. However, it does rely on the clinician's ability to pour the impression immediately or to have it poured in a relatively short period of time. Placement of a fast-setting polyvinyl material helps to maintain the tissue profile while the impression is being poured. The patient may be without the prosthesis for approximately 1 hour while the pouring is accomplished.

The method described in this paper relies on the accuracy of the temporary cylinder in capturing the hex position of the implant. An accurate impression coping is one that connects to the implant hex without any rotational misfit. If misfit is present, the resulting prosthesis may be rotated slightly. This necessitates adjustment of the contact points and possibly manipulation of the porcelain to generate ideal contours on the crown. In the case reported here, only minor adjustment of the interproximal region was needed.

Ideally, a temporary cylinder with “zero rotation” would be needed to avoid such difficulties. Furthermore, the temporary restoration may be unstable in the impression. To minimize this problem, the proper impression material must be used. Moreover, acrylic dimples should be created on the interim restoration before the impression phase. The impression will then register the dimples without tearing, and the interim restoration can be inserted into it without loosening during pouring. The dimples can be used to confirm proper seating and provide the stability necessary during vibration pouring of the master cast. In the case presented, the access hole in the interim restoration was cleared to provide positive seating when it was placed in the impression (Fig. 5).

Conclusions
This paper has presented an impression technique that accurately duplicates the peri-implant tissue profile. The final prosthesis is shaped exactly like the interim prosthesis for ideal contour and soft-tissue position. Optimal esthetic results can be achieved with this method.
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