Tissue Engineering Scaffolds for the Regeneration of Craniofacial Bone
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SOMMAIRE

Les stratégies actuelles de régénération osseuse reposent sur l'utilisation de greffes osseuses autogènes et allogènes, mais celles-ci ne sont pas toujours possibles ou sans danger. Une solution de rechange consiste à mettre au point des matériaux pouvant servir d'échafaudages pour l'ingénierie tissulaire des os. Nous avons créé des échafaudages de nanofibres en utilisant la technique de l'électrofilature. Ces matériaux à base de phosphate de calcium sont poreux; ils ont un rapport surface/volume élevé et ils peuvent être utilisés pour l'administration de médicaments, de produits biologiques ou de cellules, dans des applications d'ingénierie tissulaire. Les protéines de la matrice osseuse ont aussi été conjuguées à la surface d'un réseau polymère de polycaprolactone et de poly(méthacrylate de 2-hydroxyéthyle) pour créer un matériau offrant une réponse cellulaire améliorée. Cette stratégie biomimétique a permis d'observer des interactions favorables à la surface cellulaire qui amélioreront sans doute la synthèse et la régénération de la matrice. Toutes ces avancées permettront le développement d'échafaudages novateurs offrant des applications en ingénierie tissulaire et en régénération osseuse.

Recent advancements in implant dentistry, along with increased patient demands for implant-supported prostheses, have resulted in a heightened need for bone augmentation that goes beyond traditional periodontal regeneration. For instance, decreased ridge width because of resorption from tooth loss may preclude the placement of endosseous implants. Bone augmentation may also be necessary for the treatment of edentulous patients if they lack the appropriate bone volume that would ensure the long-term stability of implants. In such cases, materials that permit new bone formation (osteogenic) or promote new bone formation (osteinductive) are used (Fig. 1). The use of autogenous bone grafts is the standard against which other bone-graft substitutes are compared, but the availability of autogenous bone grafts is often limited. Allogenic bone grafts are a good alternative, but carry risks of immunoreactivity and disease transmission. Synthetic bone grafts are an important substitute, and improvement of their effectiveness is an ongoing research endeavour. In this paper, we describe 2 promising new tissue engineering approaches for enhancing bone regeneration that use matrix-like scaffolds to restore bone architecture and functionality.
Figure 1: Limitations of existing strategies for bone regeneration. This patient had a fracture in tooth 21 that extended deep into the root. The tooth had been restored with a large post and core, and tooth 11 was extracted 8 years before. The treatment consisted of extracting tooth 21 and filling the tooth socket with an allograft to preserve the existing architecture and induce new bone formation. In addition, a combination of autograft and allograft materials was used to reconstruct the site for tooth 11. After 4 months of healing, implants were placed using a 2-stage technique. When the surgical site was reopened 4 months after the implant was placed (8 months after grafting), considerable residual material was seen.

Figure 2: Calcium phosphate nanofibres observed by scanning electron microscopy. Calcium phosphate nanofibres with various morphologies and architecture were produced by changing the conditions of synthesis: (a) tubular fibres; (b and c) porous fibres, and (d) solid fibres.

Figure 3: A graph comparing the number of cells attached to untreated polymer surfaces with the number attached to surfaces treated with bovine serum albumin (BSA, inactive control) or bone sialoprotein (BSP, active). Mouse preosteoblasts (MC3T3-E1 cells) were incubated for 2 h on the surfaces of polycaprolactone/poly(2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate) polymer, or polymer conjugated with BSA or BSP. Surfaces were washed and the attached cells were observed with confocal microscopy. The number of attached cells was expressed per unit area. BSP-conjugated surfaces supported significantly greater cell attachment than untreated or BSA-conjugated surfaces (p < 0.05). Horizontal line indicates groups that are not significantly different.

Biomaterial Scaffolds for Tissue Engineering of Bone

During tissue engineering, cells and growth factors are combined with a porous biodegradable scaffold to repair and regenerate tissue. The scaffold acts as a temporary matrix while the cells secrete the extracellular matrix (ECM) that is required for tissue regeneration. Two routes have been proposed for bone regeneration by tissue engineering: 1) the use of scaffolding materials that induce the formation of bone following the ingrowth of cells from the surrounding tissues, and 2) the use of scaffolds as carriers for seeded autogenous osteogenic cells that are cultured in bioreactors and subsequently reimplanted into the patient. The first modality can be thought of as in vivo tissue engineering, since the patient’s body is used as the bioreactor to produce bone. In this approach, scaffolds used to induce bone formation from surrounding tissues include calcium phosphate-based materials. Given the apatite-like composition of bone mineral, calcium phosphate materials such as hydroxyapatite and tricalcium phosphate have been developed for use as scaffolds. In the second modality, osteogenic cells are removed from the patient and cultured with the scaffold materials in vitro. After an appropriate cultivation time, the cell-matrix construct is implanted into the patient, where it guides the regeneration of bone. Polymer scaffolds can be fabricated into the required anatomical shapes. Proof-of-principle studies have been done on tooth-alveolar bone
Therefore, these enhanced cell-surface interactions found on bone sialoprotein-modified surfaces are likely mediated through cell-surface receptors for RGD sequences. These receptors are also important for cell differentiation with these sequences bind to cell-surface receptors, facilitating the attachment of cells to substrata. Bone sialoprotein is an RGD-containing protein that is abundant in mineralized tissues at sites of de novo bone formation and in new mineral foci. Bone sialoprotein is also able to nucleate hydroxyapatite crystals in vitro. These findings suggest that bone sialoprotein mediates early mineral formation. Additionally, in a rat model of pulp-dentin defects, the use of bone sialoprotein resulted in more rapid repair, suggesting that bone sialoprotein could be used in a therapeutic device to repair bony defects through an osteoinductive process. Therefore, it may be advantageous to exploit the functions of bone sialoprotein in skeletal tissue engineering. We have developed polycaprolactone/poly(2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate) (PCL/pHEMA) polymer networks that are surface-modified with full-length bone sialoprotein. Quantitative cell-attachment assays revealed enhanced osteoblastic cell attachment on bone sialoprotein-modified surfaces compared with that of control surfaces (unmodified or albumin conjugated). Cells were also more extensively spread on bone sialoprotein-modified surfaces (Figs. 4 and 5). The enhanced cell attachment and spreading that we have found on bone sialoprotein-conjugated polymer scaffold surfaces is likely mediated through cell-surface receptors for RGD sequences. These receptors are also important for cell differentiation. Therefore, these enhanced cell-surface interactions found on bone sialoprotein-modified surfaces will likely assist other desirable events. Enhancement of cell proliferation, differentiation, matrix synthesis and survival will undoubtedly facilitate the regeneration of bone.

Effective tissue-engineering scaffolds need to support the attachment and proliferation of cells, and the synthesis of new matrix. Improvement in the capacity of these materials to promote such cell-surface interactions will lead to advancements in their clinical application. Modification of scaffold surfaces is commonly used to control cell interactions with the biomaterial interface. For instance, a biomimetic approach to improving cell attachment has been the modification of biomaterials with the arginine-glycine-aspartic-acid (RGD)-peptide sequence. Proteins with these sequences bind to cell-surface receptors, facilitating the attachment of cells to substrata. Bone sialoprotein is an RGD-containing protein that is abundant in mineralized tissues at sites of de novo bone formation and in new mineral foci. Bone sialoprotein is also able to nucleate hydroxyapatite crystals in vitro. These findings suggest that bone sialoprotein mediates early mineral formation. Additionally, in a rat model of pulp-dentin defects, the use of bone sialoprotein resulted in more rapid repair, suggesting that bone sialoprotein could be used in a therapeutic device to repair bony defects through an osteoinductive process. Therefore, it may be advantageous to exploit the functions of bone sialoprotein in skeletal tissue engineering. We have developed polycaprolactone/poly(2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate) (PCL/pHEMA) polymer networks that are surface-modified with full-length bone sialoprotein. Quantitative cell-attachment assays revealed enhanced osteoblastic cell attachment on bone sialoprotein-modified surfaces compared with that of control surfaces (unmodified or albumin conjugated). Cells were also more extensively spread on bone sialoprotein-modified surfaces (Figs. 4 and 5). The enhanced cell attachment and spreading that we have found on bone sialoprotein-conjugated polymer scaffold surfaces is likely mediated through cell-surface receptors for RGD sequences. These receptors are also important for cell differentiation. Therefore, these enhanced cell-surface interactions found on bone sialoprotein-modified surfaces will likely assist other desirable events. Enhancement of cell proliferation, differentiation, matrix synthesis and survival will undoubtedly facilitate the regeneration of bone.
Summary of Research and Future Directions

Synthetic biomaterials are suitable scaffolds for tissue-engineering approaches to bone regeneration. These porous scaffolds provide a matrix-like structure for osteoblast attachment, migration, proliferation, differentiation and ECM deposition. Through our ongoing research, we have fabricated 2 novel scaffolds for this purpose. We have used advanced electrospinning techniques to generate calcium phosphate nanofibres within architecturally elaborate lattice-like structures that are likely to have widespread applications in tissue engineering. In addition, we have conjugated bone sialoprotein onto PCL/pHEMA polymer surfaces to improve cell attachment and spreading. These cellular events on ECM substrata are important modulators of subsequent signalling pathways that determine cell fate and function. Ongoing research focuses on characterizing downstream events, including cell proliferation and differentiation, and bone-matrix synthesis. These surface-modified scaffolds constitute a biomimetic approach to tissue engineering and bone regeneration.
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