Gingival recession is defined as the displacement of the gingival margin apical to the cementoenamel junction. It is characterized by the loss of periodontal connective tissue fibres, along with tooth cementum and alveolar bone. The causes of gingival recession are periodontal disease, improper oral hygiene, frenial pull, bone dehiscence, improper restorations, tooth malposition, viral infections of the gingiva and subgingival calculus formation. Recession of the gingival tissue causes root hypersensitivity, poor esthetic appearance and cervical root caries. Gingival recession defects are typically treated by periodontal plastic surgery to correct or eliminate the deformities of the gingival mucosa. Various mucogingival procedures have been used, including creation of free gingival grafts, laterally positioned flaps or semilunar coronally positioned flaps, as well as guided tissue regeneration (GTR) and connective tissue grafting.

Treatment of gingival recession defects with pedicle flaps results in a long junctional epithelial attachment. Placement of a free gingival graft results in regeneration of cementum, bone and connective tissue attachment. New bone and new cementum formation are observed with GTR. However, in a recent review, Danesh-Meyer and Wikesjö mentioned that GTR does not provide additional clinical benefits over connective tissue grafting or advanced flap procedures in the treatment of gingival recession. They proposed that the technical difficulties of GTR are more hazardous than helpful for the clinician in controlling primary wound closure, membrane exposure, space maintenance and unacceptable foreign-body reactions. Furthermore, performing connective tissue grafts over gingival recession defects results in periodontal regeneration.

Citric acid, fibronectin and tetracycline hydrochloride are commonly used for root conditioning to enhance root coverage and encourage a new connective tissue attachment.
between the root surface and the transplanted tissue. However, no significant benefits are reported with or without the application of these compounds.

This review focuses specifically on treatment of gingival recession defects with a recent procedure known as the tunnel procedure combined with connective tissue grafting. A MEDLINE search was performed to identify reports of this procedure in English publications appearing between 1985 and 2002. The aim of this article is to inform clinicians who are interested in new periodontal plastic surgical methods and to focus on a novel procedure that is highly successful in treating adjacent gingival recession defects.

**Evaluation of Reports of Recipient Site Preparation by the Tunnel Procedure**

Langer and Langer described the subepithelial connective tissue grafting technique in 1985 for use in the treatment of adjacent gingival recession defects. The method involved root coverage by means of a partial-thickness dissection with 2 vertical releasing incisions. The authors reported satisfactory results in treating maxillary deep-wide recession defects, including 4-year postoperative stability. The high success rate obtained with this type of graft was related to maintaining the blood supply at the overlying flap and the connective tissue basement. The major benefits of subepithelial connective tissue grafting are improved colour blending at the recipient site and reduced morbidity at the donor site. In the same year, Raetzke described the envelope technique for treatment of single deep–wide gingival recession defects; the method involved subepithelial connective tissue grafting in an envelope created around the root surface with a split-thickness dissection without vertical incisions. Preoperative measurements from 10 patients with 12 localized sites of recession ranged from 2.0 to 5.0 mm (mean 3.29) in depth and 1.5 to 6.0 mm (mean 3.63) in width. The postoperative results ranged from 0.0 to 2.0 mm (mean 0.67) and 0.0 to 4.0 mm (mean 1.46), respectively. In Raetzke’s study, mean root coverage was 80%, and there was an average gain in keratinized gingiva of 3.5 mm. This technique offers many advantages, such as good healing (related to maximum contact between the graft and host tissues), minimal surgical trauma at the recipient area, increased blood supply from the lateral and papillary areas,
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minor wound surface at the palatal site and improved esthetic appearance in the early phase of healing.

In 1994 Allen demonstrated a supraperiosteal envelope technique in soft-tissue grafting for root coverage for multiple adjacent areas of gingival recession. He used partial-thickness dissection at the recipient area without vertical incisions. The procedure was highly successful, and complete coverage was achieved in 14 (61%) of 23 sites. Five of the 9 remaining sites had 75% coverage, and 4 had coverage from 20% to 67%. The average root coverage for all sites was 84%. Allen also classified the results according to depth; complete coverage was achieved in 10 (83%) of 12 shallow defects and 4 (40%) of 10 moderate defects. Shallow defects averaged 95% coverage and moderate defects 73% coverage. The supraperiosteal envelope technique offers several advantages in the treatment of adjacent gingival recession defects. Surgical trauma at the recipient site is minimal, graft nutrition is augmented by lateral and papillary blood vessels, and, although the interdental papilla is dissected, the esthetic appearance of the surgical area is preserved through repositioning of the papilla with a single knot.

In 1999 Zabalegui and others reported highly successful root coverage in the treatment of 21 teeth with subepithelial connective tissue grafting combined with a tunnel technique. They obtained 100% root coverage in 66.7% of the recession defects treated, with a mean coverage of 91.6% for all sites after 12 months. They suggested the same partial-thickness dissection procedure described by Allen; however, they...
preferred to create a tunnel under the areas of gingival recession and to thus avoid dissecting the intermediate papilla of the adjacent recession defects. With this modification they reported early healing, high percentage of root coverage and improved esthetic results in multiple adjacent gingival recession defects. This procedure seems to be more predictable and demonstrates more satisfactory results than previously described techniques, which may be explained by the fact that less trauma is caused during preparation of the recipient site if the intermediate papillae remain intact.

Blanes and Allen introduced the bilateral pedicle flap–tunnel technique to cover adjacent recession defects with subepithelial connective tissue grafts. They modified the tunnel technique by incorporating a pedicle flap design and obtained 95% root coverage in 6 patients for at least 6 months. They suggested that this new combination offered many advantages, such as better adaptation and stability (because of the tunneled interproximal papilla), prevention of apical retraction and less surgical trauma. In 2001 Santarelli and others published a case report describing use of a partial-thickness dissection with the tunnel approach and a single vertical incision for the treatment of maxillary central incisors. They introduced a subepithelial connective tissue graft into the tunnel through this single vertical incision, where the intermediate papilla remained intact.

Recently, Tözüm and Dini used a modified tunnel procedure and subepithelial connective tissue grafting for the treatment of adjacent gingival recession defects. The recipient sites were prepared by partial-thickness dissection as described previously (Figs. 1). However, the partial-thickness dissection was converted to full thickness in the coronoapical direction through the mucogingival junction, to preserve more major gingival vessels inside the flap (Figs. 2-4). When compared with other tunnel procedures, the main challenge with this method is converting the partial-thickness flap to a full-thickness flap. The authors reported highly successful root coverage in 14 adjacent maxillary gingival recession defects: average attachment gain of 3.67 mm, average root coverage of 3.28 mm and average reduction in pocket depth of 0.64 mm after 8 months. The mean root coverage was 95% (Figs. 5a-5e). This type of recipient-site preparation was described for periodontal flaps in 1977 by Mormann and Ciancio for a human study in which fluorescein angiography was used. On the basis of their results, they proposed that the higher success rate of partial-thickness dissection was due to the full-thickness preparation in the apical area, where the major gingival blood supply was preserved. Preservation of the intermediate papilla and a minor modification during tunnel preparation in the coronoapical direction increased the nutrition of the graft from the lateral and papillary areas and, more important, from the apical direction.

### Table 1  Clinical studies performed to cover adjacent gingival recession defects with subepithelial connective tissue grafting and tunnel procedure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Flap design</th>
<th>Intermediate papilla</th>
<th>Mean root coverage (%)</th>
<th>Defect no.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Allen 1994</td>
<td>Partial-thickness dissection</td>
<td>Dissected</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zabalegui and others 1999</td>
<td>Partial-thickness dissection</td>
<td>Intact</td>
<td>91.6</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tözüm and Dini 2003</td>
<td>Partial thickness and full thickness</td>
<td>Intact</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Conclusions

The data reviewed here arise from clinical trials and case reports evaluating the efficiency of recipient-site preparation with the tunnel procedure and its modifications (Table 1). The success of root coverage seems to relate to the transplanted graft type and the procedure at the recipient site. It is clear that the flap design affects initial healing and the amount of coverage of the denuded roots during the follow-up period. The gingival blood supply should be preserved at the basement of the denuded roots and the modified tunnel technique will reduce the trauma at the recipient site and improve early esthetic results. This new modification offers successful clinical results for both patients and clinicians. However, comparative long-term studies with larger sample sizes are necessary to fully understand the impact of the tunnel approach for the treatment of adjacent gingival recession defects.